



01-26-05

FILED 2613 #

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Re: Application of
Dayton V. Taylor

Serial No. 10/024,424

Filed: December 17, 2001

For: SYSTEM FOR PRODUCING TIME-
INDEPENDENT VIRTUAL CAMERA
MOVEMENT IN MOTION PICTURES
AND OTHER MEDIA

Examiner Nhon T. Diep
Group Art Unit 2613

RESPONSE

Applicant respectfully submits the following in response to the Office Action dated November 18, 2004:

Claims 21 - 23 have been rejected under the judicially-created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 - 4 of U.S. Patent No. 5,659,323. Claims 24 - 28 have been rejected under the judicially-created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 - 29 of U.S. Patent No. 6,154,251. Claims 44 - 46 have been rejected under the judicially-created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 - 13 of U.S. Patent No. 6,331,871. Claims 29 - 43 have been rejected under the judicially-created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 - 4 of U.S. Patent No. 5,659,323, claims 1 - 29 of U.S. Patent No. 6,154,251, and claims 1 - 13 of U.S. Patent No. 6,331,871.

In response, Applicant submits the accompanying terminal disclaimers with regard to U.S. Patent Nos. 5,659,323, 6,154,251 and 6,331,871 in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). Also enclosed is a check in the amount of \$195 (3 x \$65) to cover the required terminal disclaimer fees for a small entity.

Favorable reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

DORR, CARSON, SLOAN, BIRNEY & KRAMER, P.C.

Date: 1/21/05

By: Thomas S. Birney
Thomas S. Birney #30,025
3010 East 6th Avenue
Denver, Colorado 80206
(303) 333-3010

Attorneys for Applicant